

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-356001
Client's Ref. No.: P331

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FACSIMILE:

OFFICIAL FAX NO: (703) 872-9306

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 04 2005

Number of pages including this page 6

Applicant : Peter S. MacLeod
Serial No. : 09/653,052
Filed : September 1, 2000

Art Unit : 2622
Examiner : Timothy J. Stephany

Title : Composite Rendering Intent for Color Proofing Applications

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attached to this facsimile communication cover sheet is a **REPLY TO ACTION OF JANUARY 14, 2005**, faxed this 4th day of April, 2005, to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Customer No. 021876
Fish & Richardson P.C.
Telephone: (650) 839-5070
Fax: (650) 839-5071

50270244.doc

NOTE: This facsimile is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately call us collect at (650) 839-5070 to arrange for its return. Thank you.

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-356001 / P331

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 04 2005

Applicant : Peter S. MacLeod
Serial No. : 09/653,052
Filed : September 1, 2000
Title : COMPOSITE RENDERING INTENT FOR COLOR PROOFING APPLICATIONS

Art Unit : 2622
Examiner : Timothy J. Stephany

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO ACTION OF JANUARY 14, 2005

In reply to the Office Action of January 14, 2005, Applicant submits the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-23 are pending. Claims 1, 8, 15, 22 and 23 are independent. The Examiner rejected claims 1-6, 8-13, 15-20, 22, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,307,961 ("Balonon-Rosen"). The Examiner rejected claims 14, 7, and 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balonon-Rosen in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,124,944 ("Ohta"). The applicant respectfully traverses the rejections.

SECTION 103(a) REJECTIONSClaim 8

Claim 8 stands rejected as unpatentable over Balonon-Rosen. Examiner cites many sections of the reference in the rejection. Applicant submits that claim 8 is patentable over Balonon-Rosen because every element of claim 8 is not disclosed in this reference.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated below.

Date of Transmission

April 4, 2005

Signature

Carlos A. Brasil

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

CARLOS A. BRASIL